

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 RONNIE KAUFMAN,

No. C 05-02369 CRB

12 Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

13 v.

14 PACIFIC MARITIME ASSOCIATION,

15 Defendant.
16 _____/

17 Plaintiff filed this action in pro se. Defendant moved to dismiss for failure to state a
18 claim. The Court granted defendant's motion. Although plaintiff did not appear at the
19 hearing, the Court granted plaintiff leave to amend. Plaintiff filed an amended complaint and
20 defendant again moved to dismiss for failure to state a claim. Plaintiff did not file an
21 opposition and did not appear at the hearing. The Court accordingly issued an order to show
22 cause why this action should not be dismissed for want of prosecution.

23 Plaintiff appeared at the show cause hearing. The Court directed plaintiff that if he
24 wanted to prosecute this action he must file an amended complaint by November 30, 2005.
25 As of the date of this Order he has not filed an amended complaint and has not otherwise
26 communicated with the Court. Accordingly, this action is DISMISSED pursuant to Federal

27 //

28 //

1 Rule of Civil Procedure 41 for lack of prosecution.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3
4 Dated: January 20, 2006



CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28